

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Bill	Effective Date	Description
<p>AB 119 – Comprehensive Regional Plan to Include Water Supply NVAR opposed this bill</p>	<p>This bill was vetoed by the Governor, and placed on the Chief Clerk’s desk. The Legislature did not consider whether to override the veto, therefore this bill will not become law.</p>	<p>This bill would have required the comprehensive regional plan of a county whose population is 100,000 or more but less than 400,000 (currently Washoe County) to include several provisions concerning the availability of water resources for growth and development, including a statement setting forth the total population of the region that may be supported by the sustainable water resources identified in the comprehensive plan adopted by the Western Regional Water Commission.</p>
<p>AB 363 – Graywater Collection for Single-Family Residences NVAR monitored this bill</p>	<p>Failed to pass out of Assembly committee by the deadline and will not become law.</p>	<p>This bill would have required the State Board of Health to adopt regulations concerning systems for the collection and application of graywater for a single-family residence. This bill would have provided that those regulations must not require a person to obtain a permit for the application of graywater for a single-family residence that meets certain requirements and that local governments may not prohibit such application.</p> <p>It also would have provided that the State Environmental Commission may not require a person to obtain a permit for the application of graywater for a single-family residence that meets the requirements for exemption from a local permit.</p> <p>This bill would also have provided that a system for the collection and application of graywater for a single-family residence is not a residential individual system for disposal of sewage.</p>
<p>AB 394 – Advisory Bistate Commission on Environmental and Land Use Issues NVAR opposed this bill</p>	<p>Failed to pass out of Assembly committee by the deadline and will not become law.</p>	<p>This bill would have created an advisory bistate commission to study various environmental and land use issues that are common to the areas of Nye County, NV, Clark County, NV, Inyo County, CA, and San Bernardino County, CA, and make recommendations to certain governing bodies. The advisory commission would have been modeled loosely on the Advisory Planning Commission of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency.</p>

09 SESSION REVIEW FOR REALTORS®

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Bill	Effective Date	Description
<p>SB 94 – Fire Protection in the Lake Tahoe and Lake Mead Basins NVAR supported this bill</p>	<p>Effective October 1, 2009</p>	<p>This bill expands the requirements for the State Fire Marshal to cooperate with the State Forester Firewarden to prepare regulations relating to the mitigation of the fire hazard risk posed by vegetation in the Lake Tahoe Basin and the Lake Mead Basin.</p> <p>This bill also requires the State Forester Firewarden to review and evaluate the laws and regulations of this State to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) ensure that such fire protection districts have adequate statutory and regulatory authority to carry out the regulations adopted pursuant to this bill and to carry out necessary fire safety and fire prevention activities; and, (2) ensure that there are adequate mechanisms to increase the funding of the fire prevention districts, if necessary, to enforce the regulations and that adequate funding exists to carry out their responsibilities. <p>This bill also requires the State Forester Firewarden to submit a report of its review and evaluation and any recommendations for legislation to the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislative Committee for the Review and Oversight of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Marlette Lake Water System; and, • Director of the Legislative Counsel Bureau by January 1, 2011, for transmittal to the 2011 Legislature.
<p>SB 126 – Reuse of Graywater in Single-Family Residences NVAR monitored this bill</p>	<p>Failed to pass out of Senate committee by the deadline and will not become law.</p>	<p>This bill would have required suppliers of water and public utilities to include an increase in the reuse of graywater as part of their plans of water conservation. It would have authorized those suppliers to provide incentives for the installation and use of systems for the reuse of graywater.</p> <p>This bill would have required the State Environmental Commission to adopt regulations establishing standards for systems for the reuse of graywater and regulating the reuse of graywater.</p> <p>This bill would have provided that local governments cannot prohibit the reuse of graywater in single-family residences if the use is consistent with those regulations.</p>

